

**Statement by Delegation of the Republic of Indonesia**

**at the Inter-governmental negotiation on the  
Post-2015 Development Agenda**

**New York**

**27 July 2015**

**“Preamble and Declaration”**

Mr. Co-Facilitators,

I would like to align my intervention to the intervention by South Africa on behalf of the G77 and China.

I would like to join others in extending my appreciation to you and your team for finetuning and revising the draft of the outcome document. We feel the current draft has now acommodated many of the inputs and proposals raised and is another step forward in building consensus on the post-2015 development agenda.

Mr. Co-Facilitators,

While we fully concur with G77 and China in substantive elements on the Preamble and Declarataion, allow me, in

the national capacity, to add some preliminary comments on few outstanding matters which have been raised by many delegations in this room:

With regard to the title, we initially did not intend to comment on this issue. However, responding to the dynamics in the room, we would like to reiterate that if it has to be changed, we prefer to revert to your previous proposed title "*Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Global Action*."

On the title as proposed by some partners, we are of the view that as reflected in Rio+20 outcome document which has been adopted, eradicating poverty is the greatest global challenge and is an indispensable requirement for sustainable development. Therefore poverty eradication including extreme poverty is the pathway towards sustainable development. They are not at the same level, and therefore we cannot accept placing these elements at the same level.

On the preamble, we appreciate the further improvement to reflect the inter-linkages of the 5Ps in the outcome document. However, we are of the view that presenting

them under five distinct sub-headings undermines the multidimensionality nature of the sustainable development.

Our concern is the risk that the 5Ps as they are presented now will not only divert the attention and the focus from the essence of the principle of sustainable development as the fundamental of the post-2015 development agenda, but also that they will substantively reduce the complexity of the issues covered in the agenda with its three mutually reinforcing and interlinked pillars – social, economic and environment.

On the Chapeau, it, without a question, belongs to the SDGs in its entirety. Therefore we have no doubt that the Chapeau/Introduction must belong to the Chapter II of the outcome document.

On the tweaking of targets as you proposed: while we would provide our comments in due time, allow us to raise our view. We would welcome your suggestion on the approach and methodology on carrying out this process. We believe that the issue of how to move forward with the proposals on tweaking of targets is the most pressing

topic that deserves an in-depth and thorough discussion. Allow us therefore to reiterate further that major portion of the deliberations during this final week must be dedicated to build compromise and consensus on this matter.

With regard to the reflection of Addis Ababa Action Agenda, we reaffirm our strong support to your proposal to incorporate the Addis Ababa Action Agenda in paragraph 40, instead of in one of its Annexes. We are of the view that this approach is not only the most elegant way to reflect the Addis Ababa Action Agenda in the Post-2015 outcome document, but also it fully reflects the fact that both processes have their own different tracks.

With regard to follow up and review, we can concur with the view previously expressed that in the formulation of the paragraph 49, there is no need to refer to various levels of accountability to reflect the need of systematic follow-up and review at various levels.

Finally Mr. Co-Facilitators,

With regard to CBDR, we would like to reiterate that the universality does not mean uniformity.

We continue our fervent view that as we are resolving to agree on a universal agenda, the principle of CBDR applies as the affirmation that this development agenda are indeed for all, and should be implemented comprehensively by all member states, while recognizing the different levels of development, national circumstances and capacities.

In this regard, we support your view in paragraph 13 on CBDR, but we are of the view that the CBDR principle applies entirely to our post-2015 development agenda, not limited only to principle 7 of Rio Declaration on environment and development.

I thank you Mr. Co-Facilitators.

\*\*\*