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“Means of Implementation”

Co-facilitators,

Distinguished delegates,

I would like to align my statement with the statement made by the representative of South Africa on behalf of the G77 and China.

Co-facilitators,

With regard to means of implementation, we have repeatedly stated that the SDGs in their entirety, which include Goal 17 on the Global Partnership for Development and the Mol-related targets, are the critical components that are necessary
to ensure full and inclusive implementation of the post-2015 development agenda. Those components are non-negotiable.

We were perplexed to note that speakers in yesterday’s session seemed to try to introduce major revisions on the already commendable paragraphs on MoI. We felt that this approach will not be helping us pave the way toward consensus this Friday. I travel here from the capital not to re-negotiate Addis Ababa Action Agenda. I found it perplexing that such proposals were put on the table, and I further reiterate our firm position that we are against any attempts to undermine, let alone delete, the means of implementation and Goal 17 of the SDGs.

We welcome the Addis Ababa Action Agenda as a complementary and supportive set of actions to support the attainment of SDGs and Post 2015 Development Agenda as a whole. The UN General Assembly has adopted the Action Agenda yesterday [or the day before yesterday?]. As a different track/process and yet inextricably linked with the means of implementation to achieve sustainable development goals, we are firmly of the view that the Post 2015 Development Agenda could welcome the adoption and endorsement of the Addis Ababa Action Agenda by the UNGA. We would like to reiterate that Addis Ababa Action
Agenda is NOT a substitute for the Means of Implementation of the post-205 development agenda. It complements and supports the global partnership contained in the SDGs and this complementary character must always be referenced correctly and clearly.

Co-facilitators,
As substantive suggestions, we would like to make following proposals:

First, in the spirit to maintain constructiveness approach, we could be flexible to streamline the text on MoI in the Declaration as well as Chapter 3, by removing its substantive elements. We are of the view that we are not task here to renegotiate SDGs as well as not to renegotiate FFD.

Second, we note that paragraphs 40 and 61 both focus on welcoming the Addis Ababa Action Agenda; therefore, we are of the view that to ensure coherence and avoiding repetition, we propose that this reference should be kept at one place, which we feel more suitable in Paragraph 61 under Chapter 3, along with its footnote. However, if this para is retained under the Declaration, then paragraph 60 should be moved to be placed above this paragraph.
Second, with regard to Technology Facilitation Mechanism, we commend your approach in paragraph 64. We are of the view that this paragraph needs to be further strengthened by adding the whole paragraph 123 of Addis Ababa outcome to be reflected as mutually understood in the gentlemen agreement among member states during the Addis Ababa process.

Lastly, Co-facilitators, we would like to echo the sentiment raised by Nigeria on behalf on the African Group yesterday afternoon on the inclusion of the term “…the outcome documents of their respective review conferences” following the reference to Beijing Platform for Action. We recalled that during the OWG on SDGs, this issue, derived from target 5.6 of the SDGs, had not enjoyed a wide consensus in the room and had been one of the causes of reservations from many member states. With the spirit of bringing the balance in the outcome document of the Post-2015 Development Agenda, we would call for you to delete the particular reference to the review conferences in paragraph 12 of the Declaration.

I thank you Mr. Co-facilitators.