

Statement  
Delegation of the Republic of Indonesia  
at the Inter-governmental negotiation on the  
Post-2015 Development Agenda  
New York  
29 July 2015

**“Follow-up and Review”**

Co-facilitators,

I would like to associate my statement with the statement to be made by the representative of South Africa on behalf of the G77 and China.

First of all we would like to commend your efforts to further streamline the paragraph under Follow up and Review. We feel that that this Chapter has now advanced significantly without being overly prescriptive.

In this light, allow me to add several elements from our national perspective:

First, we would like to underline and reiterate that follow up and review of the Post-2015 Development Agenda should be voluntary, open, transparent and inclusive. It should be universal in scope, cutting across all sectors, and must be anchored on strong national ownership;

Therefore, we would like to offer specific proposals for this Chapter:

- For paragraph 68, we are of the view that the term “**voluntary**” which reflects the important nature of the Follow up and Review, should be added after “robust, effective,” in the second sentence.
- On Paragraph 70, we have several proposals for this paragraph. We support that the Follow up and Review should reiterate its “**people centered**” nature. In this regard, we are of the view that the placement of this term should be moved from the heading of **Para 70 to the beginning of paragraph 70 point e**, to ensure its inclusivity and inter-linkage with the relevant principles;
- On **paragraph 70 a**, we are of the view that at the national level, the outcome of follow up and review

would be the official report produced by the Government using official national data sources. This report will then feed into the regional level follow up and review process.

- In addition, we are of the view that at the national level, follow-up and review of the implementation of the SDGs should be led by national governments with active participation of all relevant stakeholders, in accordance with national legislation.
- Therefore, we are rather concerned with the reference to “outcomes of national review processes” for the reasons above. We suggest to simply revise the term “outcomes of national level processes” into singular term **“the outcome of national level process”**.
- On paragraph 70d, we support the participation and engagement of all relevant stakeholders in Follow up and Review process at national level. Therefore the term **“reporting”** seems to be too prescriptive and limit the policy space for member states. Not all countries and regions are in the position and capacity to apply peer-review approach in their national and

regional process. Hence we call that this sentiment should also be well reflected clearly in para 70d.

- On Paragraph 72, we seek further clarity regarding the second sentence on “promoting transparent and accountable scaling up of appropriate public-private cooperation”. We are of the view that this issue has not yet been discussed openly and comprehensively. We suggest to replace this phrase with “*We encourage transparent and reliable scaling-up of appropriate multi-stakeholder cooperation to benefit from the contribution made by the wide range of data ...*”.
- On Paragraph 76, we support the previous proposal to refer to “peer learning” to maintain the flexibility and policy space in determining the approach to follow up and review mechanism. We also propose to add the word “including through” after “peer learning”
- On paragraph 84, we are concerned if the review of the implementation by the UN development system including its relevant governing bodies are to be included in the SDGs Progress Report. We feel that it might unnecessarily overburden the Report. In this

regard, perhaps other approach to channel the reports from the agencies need further discussion.

- Still on paragraph 84, in the last sentence regarding taking action on the ECOSOC Dialogues on the longer-term positioning of the UN development system, we support this paragraph. However we would like to add **that “action on these issues should be taken by intergovernmental process”**.
- On paragraph 86, we are of the view that recommendation from the UNSG should be on a voluntary common reporting guidelines, and not the format, as we are working toward a voluntary follow up and review mechanism.
- In the interest of time, we will send our comprehensive proposal on this Chapter to you Mr. Co-facilitators.

I thank you.

\*\*\*