Mr. Co-Chair,

The output of Rio+20 that will be reflected in the outcome document should enable countries to more effectively implement sustainable development objectives, in order to produce a more balanced and equitable global economy and society.

As we believe in the principle of “common but differentiated responsibilities”, the means of implementation from the Rio+20 outcome should take into account the role of countries' national institutional system.

The outcome of Rio+20 should accommodate existing political instruments and national needs, particularly in regard to development, decent jobs creation, poverty eradication, people’s accessibility to information, and equitable and sustainable use of resources.

Mr. Co-Chair,

In relation to the structure of the outcome document, we have proposed the structure that needs to be build under sub titles as have been highlighted in the Indonesia submission.

We welcome the primary reference for developing a zero draft “focused political document”, as suggested in the guidance note by the bureau of the UNCSD Preparatory Process for this Second Intersessional Meeting - whether modeled in a political declaration accompanied by a separate action plan or political declaration; or a consensus document having no separate action plan with the actions integrated into the document.
For Indonesia, two very important aspects of the outcome document need to be reflected: renewed political commitment and action. This would create a balance between political ideas and the implementation dimension. Thus, the outcome of Rio +20 would not only be strong in will, but also strong in action.

In conclusion, we should aim for the Rio +20 Conference to be remembered as a historical and groundbreaking conference, and not just another United Nations Conference.

I thank you.