Results of the survey of 4 years of HLPF

Total responses: 234
193 English
18 French
19 Spanish
2 Arabic
2 Russian
Who responded?

English
- Member State: 31.1%
- UN system: 16.7%
- Major groups and other stakeholders: 51.3%

French
- Member State: 27.8%
- UN system: 10.5%
- Major groups and other stakeholders: 44.4%

Spanish
- Member State: 42.1%
- UN system: 10.5%
- Major groups and other stakeholders: 42.1%
Overall, the HLPF has been successful in the follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda and its Sustainable Development Goals.

193 responses
HLPF functions that have been fulfilled

- Thematic reviews of the Sustainable Development Goals (49%)
- Voluntary National Reviews (48%)
- Identification of trends and emerging issues (47%)
- Platform for partnerships (44%)
- Promotion of UN system-wide coherence and coordination of sustainable development policies (42%)
- Provision of high-level political leadership and guidance for sustainable development (35%)
- Improved science-policy interface (28%)
Consulted inputs to the HLPF

- Voluntary National Reviews of countries
- SDG Progress Report
- The Global Sustainable Development Report
  - Compilation of main messages of the Voluntary National Reviews
  - Synthesis report of voluntary submissions of ECOSOC Functional Commissions and other intergovernmental forums and bodies to the HLPF thematic review
- Summaries of SDG-related Expert Group meetings
- Background notes prepared for the SDG thematic reviews
- Reports of the regional sustainable development forums
- Co-Chair’s Summary of the Multi-stakeholder Forum on science, technology and innovation for the Sustainable Development Goals
- Outcome of the ECOSOC Forum on Financing for Development Follow-up
- Outcome of the Development Cooperation Forum (every two years)
- The Note by the Secretariat on the discussion papers on the theme of the HLPF, submitted by the Major Groups and other stakeholders
Achievements of the HLPF

• The HLPF has helped to take stock of SDG progress. (81%)
• The HLPF has identified challenges and emerging trends for SDG implementation. (78%)
• The HLPF has brought together participants from all walks of life, working in all three dimensions of sustainable development. (76%)
• The HLPF has showcased good practices for SDG implementation. (74%)
• The HLPF discussions were sufficiently well-prepared and based on evidence, data and analysis. (70%)
• The HLPF has helped to mobilize partnerships for the SDGs. (65%)
• The HLPF and notably its reviews of SDGs have sufficiently discussed interlinkages among the SDGs and the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. (60%)
• The HLPF has showcased solutions to address these challenges. (60%)
Usefulness of VNRs in order of importance

- VNRs have been useful for sharing lessons learned and experience gained.
- VNRs have been useful for sharing SDG implementation challenges.
- The preparations of the VNRs and the presentation of VNRs at the HLPF have been useful for advancing national implementation.
- The VNRs have been useful for mobilizing partnerships.
REVIEW OF SDGs AND THEME AT HLPF

The HLPF should continue to review a set of SDGs every year.
190 responses

- Strongly agree: 81.6%
- Somewhat agree: 16.8%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 11.6%
- Somewhat disagree: 9%
- Strongly disagree: 14%

The HLPF should continue to review a theme every year.
188 responses

- Strongly agree: 52.7%
- Somewhat agree: 11.2%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 9%
- Somewhat disagree: 13.3%
- Strongly disagree: 12.8%
GUIDANCE AND TIME FOR VNRs

More specific guidance needs to be provided on the preparation, content and approach of the VNRs.

More time for VNR presentations would make them more relevant for lessons learned and peer learning.
The HLPF could discuss reviews by businesses, cities or other actors on their own contributions to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda.

187 responses
MINISTERIAL DECLARATION

• TOPICS TO BE BETTER COVERED
  • Political guidance and recommendations for follow-up (85%)
  • New and emerging trends (81%)
  • Thematic reviews of the SDGs (67%)

The Ministerial Declaration should better reflect the discussions held at the HLPF.
189 responses

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
Suggestions for Improvement: Major Points

• Increase civil society participation

• Allow for constructive critical reflection on the VNRs

• Increase time for VNR presentations to allow for more depth/peer learning

• Increase focus on SDG interlinkages, solutions and concrete recommendations

• More engaging discussion, fewer prepared statements