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### Domestic coal availability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuels</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2036</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coking coal (million tonnes)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-coking coal (million tonnes)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignite (million tonnes)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Natural Gas availability

### Natural Gas availability (MMSCMD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic availability</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG import</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transnational Pipelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran-Pakistan-India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar-India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total imports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>109</strong></td>
<td><strong>218</strong></td>
<td><strong>340</strong></td>
<td><strong>370</strong></td>
<td><strong>380</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Industry sector contributes about 25% of India's GDP for the year 2002-03.

Industry sector is the largest consumer of commercial energy in Indian economy (40% share of commercial energy during 2003-04).

Seven energy intensive industries: Iron and Steel, Cement, Aluminium, Fertilizer, Pulp and Paper, Fertilizers, Cotton textile, Chlor-alkali are analyzed in details.

Accounts of more than 60% of commercial energy consumption of industry sector.

Major industries are already moving towards energy efficiency path:
- Iron and steel: Average SEC of integrated steel plants is reduced from 9.29 Gcal/tsc in 1990-91 to 7.28 Gcal/tcs in 2004-05 (22% reduction).
- Cement: Specific heat consumption of clinker production is reduced from 1300-1600 kcal/kg in 1950-60s to 665-800 kcal/kg of clinker at present.
- Average SEC of ammonia production is reduced from 13.7 Gcal/tonne in 1985-86 to 9.30 Gcal/tonnes in 2002-03 (32% reduction).
Total Primary Commercial Energy Requirement

- Total primary commercial energy increases 7.5 times 2001 to 2031 (285 mtoe to 2123 mtoe) (CAGR: 6.9%)
- Share of traditional fuels to total primary energy consumption decreases by 35% to 4% (in year 2001 to 2031)
- Coal and Oil remains the dominant fuels
  - Share of Coal: 55% in 2031
  - Share of Oil: 36% in 2031
- Share of hydro in total commercial supply is only 2% in 2031
Energy Security: High Import Dependency

Fuel Import in 2031

- Coal import: 1438 MT
  - ~4 times of consumption in 2001
  - Import dependency: 78%
- Oil import: 680 MT
  - Import dependency: 93%
- Gas import: 93 BCM
  - Import dependency: 67%

- Maximum indigenous production levels for all fuels is achieved by the year 2016
Commercial energy consumption from the end-use side increases 7.5 times (in 2001-2031) (CAGR: 7%)

- Share of residential sector in total final energy (including non-commercial energy) consumption decreases due to shift towards more efficient commercial fuels

- The highest growth rate in oil consumption in the transport sector increases by 13.6 times (CAGR: 9%)
  - Shift towards more energy intensive modes of transportations both for passenger and freight movement
Electricity Generation Capacity

- Total installed capacity increases by 6.34 times (CGAR: 6.3%)  
- Coal based capacity will remain dominant (59% in 2031) followed by hydro (20%)  
- Decentralized capacity will contribute 19% of the total generation capacity by 2031  
Scenarios Analysis
Economy-Wide Scenarios

Socio-Economic

- **BAU scenario** - 8% GDP growth rate (BAU)
- **Low-growth scenario** - 6.7% GDP growth rate (LG)
- **High-growth scenario** - 10% GDP growth rate (HG)

Technology-Deployment

- **BAU scenario** (BAU)
  - High-nuclear capacity (NUC)
  - Aggressive renewable energy (REN)
  - High-Efficiency scenario (EFF)
  - Hybrid scenario (8% GDP) (HYB)
  - High hybrid scenario (10% GDP) (HHYB)
Total Primary Commercial Energy Requirement

- Increase in primary energy by 2031
  - 7.5 times (BAU)
  - 5.3 times (Hybrid)
  - 11.8 times (High growth)
  - 8.2 times (High growth hybrid)

- Energy consumption in hybrid scenario (8% GDP) is even less than that in low growth scenario (6.7% GDP)

- Difference in commercial energy consumption
  - Between BAU and Hybrid in 2031 is double the total commercial energy consumption in 2001
  - Between High-growth and High-growth hybrid in 2031 is 3.6 times the total commercial energy consumption in 2001
Fuel wise Total Commercial Energy Supply

- Difference in commercial energy consumption by 2031 in hybrid scenario vis-à-vis BAU: 620 mtoe, high growth vis-à-vis
  - Difference in coal consumption 409 mtoe (1.4 times of total commercial energy consumption in 2001)
  - Difference in Oil consumption 273 mtoe (96% of total commercial energy consumption in 2001)

- Difference in commercial energy consumption by 2031 in hybrid scenario vis-à-vis BAU: 1031 mtoe
  - Difference in coal consumption 645 mtoe (2.3 times of total commercial energy consumption in 2001)
  - Difference in Oil consumption 444 mtoe (1.6 times of total commercial energy consumption in 2001)
Sectoral Coal Consumption

- Maximum share of power sector in coal consumption across all scenarios followed by the industrial sector for process heating and captive power generation
- Coking coal consumption is the highest in the hybrid scenario due to increased iron making through the blast furnace route

- Power sector exhibits highest reduction potential, followed by process heating in industry
- Difference in coal consumption in the power sector in 2031
  - Hybrid vis-à-vis BAU: 367 mtoe (55% reduction)
  - High growth hybrid vis-à-vis high growth scenario: 568 mtoe (49% reduction)
BAU: Decline in energy-intensity from 0.022 kgoe/Rs. of GDP in 2001 to 0.017 kgoe/Rs. of GDP by 2031
- Decrease of 23%
- Even in BAU scenario Indian economy is progressing along an energy-efficient path

Hybrid scenario: Decline in energy-intensity to 0.012 kgoe/Rs. of GDP in 2031 (extent of 29% vis-à-vis BAU in 2031)

High-growth hybrid scenario: Decline in energy-intensity to 0.011 kgoe/Rs. of GDP by 2031 (50% reduction from 2001)

Progression of economy along a declining energy-intensity path if energy-efficiency measures are pursued aggressively even with a high optimistic growth rate of 10% GDP
Analysis of CO$_2$ Emissions
Cumulative CO\textsubscript{2} Emissions

- Cumulative CO\textsubscript{2} emissions lower to the extent of 25% and 29% in the high efficiency and hybrid scenarios respectively vis-à-vis the BAU scenario.

- Cumulative CO\textsubscript{2} emissions higher by only 8% in the high-growth hybrid scenario vis-a-vis the BAU scenario.
CO2 Emissions by Fuels (BAU)

Year:
- 2001: 60% Gas, 34% Oil, 6% Coal
- 2006: 57% Gas, 37% Oil, 6% Coal
- 2011: 54% Gas, 38% Oil, 7% Coal
- 2016: 55% Gas, 38% Oil, 7% Coal
- 2021: 54% Gas, 37% Oil, 7% Coal
- 2026: 59% Gas, 34% Oil, 6% Coal
- 2031: 64% Gas, 32% Oil, 4% Coal
- 2036: 67% Gas, 30% Oil, 3% Coal
CO2 Emissions by Sectors


Percentage:
- Power
- Industry
- Transport
- Residential
- Agriculture
- Commercial
CO2 Emissions Reduction Scenarios - Without CCS

Sector wise CO2 emissions in 2011

- BAU: Industry 1663, Power 314, Transport 594, Others 641, Total 3062
- 30% Scenario: Industry 1497, Power 276, Transport 485, Others 621, Total 2875
- 40% Scenario: Industry 1441, Power 276, Transport 436, Others 615, Total 2559
- 50% Scenario: Industry 1379, Power 276, Transport 374, Others 621, Total 2251

Sector wise CO2 emissions in 2021

- BAU: Industry 3332, Power 686, Transport 1124, Others 1370, Total 5922
- 30% Scenario: Industry 2665, Power 495, Transport 689, Others 1328, Total 4137
- 40% Scenario: Industry 2443, Power 499, Transport 597, Others 1194, Total 3243
- 50% Scenario: Industry 2221, Power 496, Transport 499, Others 1174, Total 3124

Sector wise CO2 emissions in 2031

- BAU: Industry 7267, Power 181, Transport 1377, Others 2830, Total 11585
- 30% Scenario: Industry 5087, Power 181, Transport 1473, Others 2557, Total 8252
- 40% Scenario: Industry 4360, Power 181, Transport 827, Others 2465, Total 7868
- 50% Scenario: Industry 3634, Power 181, Transport 786, Others 1971, Total 6092

Time Series CO2 emissions (cumulative) reduction targets of 30%, 40% and 50% from the BAU by 2031.

Maximum reduction occurs in the power sector by deployment of clean coal technologies. (70% reduction ~ 2185 million mt.)
Power sector presents the greatest opportunity to implement CCS. With the increase of clean coal technology uptake from 10 GW in the BAU Scenario to 146 GW in the 30% reduction scenario.
GHG mitigation scenarios at various CO2 Prices (without CCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAU</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>3332</td>
<td>7267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 5/tonne</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1457</td>
<td>2495</td>
<td>5061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10/tonne</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2472</td>
<td>4989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 20/tonne</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>2412</td>
<td>4972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units of CO2 mitigated are in million tonnes
Geological CO2 Storage Potential

- Estimated CO$_2$ storage potential in
  - deep saline reservoirs (on and off shore) estimates ~ 360 GtCO$_2$
  - Depleted oil and gas wells estimates ~ 7 GtCO$_2$
  - Un-mineable coal seams 5 GtCO$_2$
  - Volcanic rock 200 GtCO$_2$

Current CCS Activities in India

- India is a member of CSLF & IEA GHG R&D Programme
- It is participating in the Future Gen Programme
- The Government of India has plans to invest in CCS related activities in the XI & XII Five Plan (report of the working group on R&D for the energy sector)
- Institute of Reservoir Studies is carrying out CO2 capture and EOR field studies in Gujarat
- NGRI is testing the feasibility of storing CO2 in basalt formations
# Cost Range of CCS components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCS component</th>
<th>Cost range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capture from power plant</td>
<td>15 – 75 US $/mt. CO2 net captured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture from gas processing or NH3 production</td>
<td>5 – 55 US $/mt. CO2 net captured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture from industrial sources</td>
<td>25 – 55 US $/mt. CO2 net captured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1 – 8 US $/mt.CO2(250 km transported)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological storage</td>
<td>0.5 – 8 US $/mt. (injected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean storage</td>
<td>5 – 30 US $/mt. (injected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral carbonation</td>
<td>50 – 100 US $/mt. (net mitigated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IEA-GHG R&D programme (Report 2007/9)
Barriers to Adoption of CCS

- **Financial Barriers:**
  - High capital costs
    - (30 to 40% increase)
  - Higher Energy penalty
    - (O&M)
- **Institutional barrier**
  - Does not fit in the overall goal of meeting the millennium development goals
  - Non-productive expenditure
    - Does not contribute to sustainable development

- **Technical Barriers:**
  - Yet to be commercially demonstrated in large point sources of CO2
  - Capture technologies are not standard for all large point sources (ex: power plant & cement plant)
  - Sinks and their capacities to yet be identified
  - Many parts of India are seismically active – issue of permanence
  - EOR/ECBM/EGR potential yet to be established in India
  - Potential & cost for sequestering in depleted oil & gas wells yet to be determined (off-shore and on-shore)
  - Mapping and matching of sinks and sources for optimization of cost to be done
Other Barriers

- **Storage** (leakage – safety; leakage-carbon accounting)
- **Acceptance** – general public is unaware of CCS
- **Regulatory**: no international standards as yet
  - EC established national standards to allow CCS for European Trading
- **Financial**: Lack of business architecture;
  Storage business does not exist
Conclusions

- Climate change is a global problem
  - India needs international cooperation
- Establishment of CCS technologies require major investments in a country like India, which cannot be handled even by OECD countries
- CCS options will vary from region to region and country to country
  - Entire value chain needs vigorous analysis
- International cooperation in CCS is a must for successful deployment of this technology.
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