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“(The) water crisis is largely our own making. It has resulted not from the natural limitations of the water supply or lack of financing and appropriate technologies, even though these are important factors, but rather from profound failures in water governance. ....Consequently, resolving the challenges in this area must be a key priority if we are to achieve sustainable water resources development and management”.

... UNDP on Water Governance.

Introduction

There are many influential studies which show that the solution to the global water crisis is not in increasing investment or introducing better technology but in improving water governance.

Nonetheless, reports of numerous conferences, repeat the same jaded mantra: increase investments, introduce more technology, downsize public utilities, curtail the role of government and bring in the private sector players.

The addition of newer policy prescriptions, sometimes described as paradigm shifts, for greater community participation through an increased sense of ownership and participation by intended beneficiaries or ‘consumers’, shift from supply driven to demand responsive functioning, shift in the role of government functionaries from being ‘providers’ to ‘facilitators’ and moving towards greater decentralization in water supply function, do not get much attention.

An important question is seldom asked: what happened to the millions of dollars worth of investment in the water sector worldwide in the last two or three decades? What is inexplicable is that in the absence of any scientific evidence or assessment of the type of water assets created by prior investments, more investments are sought to answer the water crisis!

It is not the purpose of this paper to argue that new investments are not required to address the challenge of providing safe, adequate and sustainable water to all human beings on planet earth. To the contrary, while acknowledging the necessity of raising investments to ensure water supply, what we would like to stress is that the solution to the water crisis can be found only by breaking out of the stereotyped solution frameworks adopted till now. We would like to suggest that a much more cost effective but enormously impactful solution would be to initiate comprehensive governance reform work within the water sector.

This paper highlights one such effort to fill this gap in water governance reform, initiated in the rural water supply division of the Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board (TWAD) in the south Indian state of Tamil Nadu. The major state
level utility reform exercise titled, ’Democratisation of Water Management’ was launched in early 2004 and is still continuing at the time of writing this paper in June, 2006.

**Water Governance Reforms: The Context and Perspective**

According to the Government of India, coverage of safe drinking water to the rural populace rose from a mere 18% in 1974 to 95% in 2002 (Maggie Black, 2004, 5). This was achieved because of the huge investments made by the Government of India and technological intervention in the countrywide / statewide programs. One of the key components of the water policy of the early 1970’s when large scale water supply schemes were launched nationally was the strategy to tap ground water.

The consequent strain on ground water aquifers, better described as an “assault” on the natural water system, was so high and occurred at such a speed that there was no way that the water table could recharge and refill itself during monsoons. In the state of Tamil Nadu, it is common for water tables to have declined to as little as 300 metres in some of the western districts. As Maggie Black describes it, “The triumphant success of well-drilling in India is now helping to shape a potential catastrophe”. (Black, 2005, 9).

Over-exploitation and droughts have reduced the annual per capita availability of fresh water to 840m$^3$ in Tamil Nadu. This is much below the national average of 1200m$^3$ and is also below the 1000m$^3$ level which is the international measure of “water scarcity”. A severe water stress will impact long-term availability of drinking water and in turn the productive livelihoods of the people of the state, especially the vulnerable.

**Changing Policy Paradigm: Shift to Community Participation, Decentralisation and Reducing the Centrality of Public Managers**

This dismal situation of acute water stress growing in the 1990’s is the context for the important policy shifts that swept the water sector worldwide impacting India too. These reforms focused on enabling greater community involvement in water schemes, with genuine emphasis on ensuring gender participation, and also changing the rules of the water game with the hitherto all powerful water technocrats, who decided on all water schemes, to act as just one -n and not the only - player in the water scenario.

In the new water regime, the potential water users were brought into the centre stage of making decisions on choice of technology, choosing location and sites schemes, implementation of schemes and its future maintenance. Schemes would henceforth not be free as before, users would need to bear part of the capital costs of new schemes and agree to pay user fees. They would also have to take charge of managing the schemes in conjunction with the local village body, the village ‘panchayat’.
The Challenges of Ensuring Water Governance Reform

Whatever the nature of sector reform policy initiated in the last two decades, of the many problems characterising the water crisis, four stand out:

1. The issue of a significant and growing section of marginalised people being excluded from provision of water service; in other words increasing numbers of ‘unreached’ people, be it in rural or urban areas;
2. The continued prevalence of inequity in the distribution of water;
3. The problem of water sustainability covering the entire gamut of water management issues from effective management of water sources to conservation and preservation of water bodies and sources.
4. An uninvolved technocracy with an entrenched mind set.

Any attempt of trying to bring about water sector reform would need to thus address these four core areas if any sustainable solution had to be found. It is within this framework that the governance reform in TWAD was undertaken.

Background to TWAD

The Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board (TWAD) was constituted under the Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board Act, 1970 for the purpose of regulation and development of drinking water and drainage in the state of Tamil Nadu barring the Chennai Metropolitan Area. TWAD as an autonomous corporation set up by the State Government, thus had exclusive mandate over all drinking water projects in the state.

The Board is responsible for investigation, design, execution and technical assistance. Operation and maintenance functions are generally the responsibility of local bodies. Administratively four regional offices each headed by a Chief Engineer, handle the field work of TWAD. Functions such as design, planning, research and other similar functions are done in a centralized manner from the Head Office in Chennai (formerly Madras).

TWAD prepares its own budget for execution and operation of projects which is approved by the Government of Tamil Nadu. The chief funding sources are from the Government of India, the state government, loans from financial institutions like LIC (Life Insurance Corporation), HUDCO and World Bank, and other grants.

Prior to the change management process, its two main modes of functioning were:

- Individual Power Pump Schemes (IPPS) for individual village panchayats. The local bodies represented their needs to district collectors who in turn directed the requests to the executive engineer of the rural water supply division in the district. The executive engineer prioritises requests for new schemes based on actual village assessment of need and prepares cost estimates for IPPS which is then approved by the head office of TWAD. Thereafter the engineer calls for tenders and executes schemes. On completion of the IPP scheme, it is handed over to the local bodies who
assume ownership and responsibility for the scheme. The power pumps are maintained by local bodies with their own funds assisted by field engineers attached to the power pump maintenance wing at district collectorates.

- Combined Water Supply Schemes (CWSS) which have become necessary due to the falling ground water table as well as the quality problems experienced by hand pump and other ground water sources. By definition, these piped water schemes are capital intensive and may not be cost effective for remote habitations. Operations and maintenance are also more complex and beyond the scope of local communities to manage on their own. TWAD had implemented CWSS that have benefited more than 15,000 out of a total of 81,787 rural habitations in the state. They are maintained by the operations wing of the TWAD Board with regard to the common components and supply water to local bodies in bulk quantity. The in-village components, distribution and tariff collection is done by the local bodies.

The crisis of water in Tamil Nadu

Similar to the experience in many other states during the initial periods of the 1970s, a virtual water revolution was ushered in through the introduction of hand pumps in different parts of the states. The ubiquitous hand pumps became the symbol of provision of protected water supply. However with the advent of pumped out, piped water supply, a major change swept both the water providers as also local communities. Slowly, yet strongly, the emphasis shifted from introducing hand pumps to introducing powered pumps. The bore pumps and the overhead tanks (OHTs) now replaced the former hand pumps, as symbols of water service and delivery. Both communities and engineers embraced the technology centred, investment-heavy piped water systems as the panacea for water supply problems.

It is significant to note that in terms of distribution, 64.8% of water supply schemes rely on piped water supply and only 18.4% on hand pumps according to the National Family Health Survey of 1998-99. In the rural areas of Tamil Nadu, deep bore wells constituted 77% and shallow bore wells 11%. In other words 88% of all sources of water supply relied on bore wells for water.

In Tamil Nadu, 96% of all sources of water are groundwater based. The phenomenal spurt of piped water schemes across the state in the eighties and nineties had its eventual impact on ground water tables. Out of the 385 water ‘blocks’ in the state, 138 were identified as over-exploited, 37 as at critical levels, 105 as semi-critical and 8 as saline. Only 97 blocks were identified as safe. Meanwhile, of the total 81,587 rural habitations in the state, about 27% are affected by quality. Of these affected habitations, about 25% do not have safe sources.

Overall, a challenging scenario presented itself to all those concerned about and involved with water at the start of the new millennium. Despite occasional good monsoons, the first five years of the new millennium witnessed the cumulative impact of years of poor rainfall in Tamil Nadu. Near drought conditions did little to help recharge already precarious ground water tables, as data in the earlier part of this approach paper shows. Unregulated mining of water and un-coordinated use for irrigation and industry only highlighted the deleterious effect of the absence of a rational and integrated water policy framework. Technocratic approaches of the agencies providing water did not lend themselves adequately to stakeholder inclusive methods and lacked capabilities to enhance peoples’ participation. Absence of a
sense of ownership and alienation from meaningful association led to a lack of involvement of the users and stakeholders water management and reluctance to participate in ensuring sustainable drinking water use practices. Coupled with an outdated approach and complaints of inefficient service delivery, the water crisis presented itself as a complex multidimensional problem calling for inputs from a variety of disciplines, perspectives and experiences.

**Democratising Water Management: The Process**

The current change process was launched in the end of 2003 in the background of both the acute water crisis detailed above, and the changing institutional paradigm in which the water engineers were no longer seen as only the sole providers but were to play a different and expanded role of being ‘social engineers’ and ‘facilitators’ of community participation in the first phase, and of competing with other players in a vastly changed water supply scenario in future phases.

It was apparent that older perspectives and responses were not only insufficient but also inappropriate in dealing with the challenging situation which presented itself to the TWAD Board in early 2004. It was equally clear that there was no alternative to launching a serious introspection of current technological perspectives underlying TWAD’s style, thrust and nature of functioning, its relations with the community and other stakeholders including political executive, the willingness of individual engineers in the organisation to critically re-examine their mode of functioning, relationship with the community and personal issues of values, ethics and behavior.

Given the imperatives of a crisis of water delivery mixed up with challenge to the future relevance of the organisation, the rural water division of TWAD decided that the only way forward was not to regurgitate old solutions but to start afresh: by going back to asking fundamental questions about the need and relevance of public utilities, the values and vision it should embody, distortions and corruptions in practice, and the shape of future direction of change efforts to reinvent a role and relevance for itself.

In effect, TWAD launched an ambitious process covering the entire statewide department of personal change and institutional transformation. Towards this end, almost the entire population of engineers covering all levels underwent personal, group and collective exploration and discussion of issues in small groups of 30-35 persons each, in intensive residential workshops of 5 days.

The change process was structured around interventions at three levels:

**Workshop**  **SPACE for exploration**

where engineers could critically examine, explore and debate issues relating to personal, professional and institutional issues;

**Village/Community**  **SITE for experimenting with learning**

The place where engineers forge new relationships based on norms of equality, equity, democratic functioning, respecting dignity, ensuring reaching the unreached and emphasizing collective solution finding.
Work Place  SPHERE for internalising learning into formal systems
The work spot as the place where the formal system impacting the work environment and performance had to be the site in which changed values, norms and visions of functioning had to be rooted in.

Given the hierarchical nature of relations within the TWAD, it was important to create a training context which promoted a sense of egalitarian relationship amongst participants, free exchange of views uninhibited by official positions and an atmosphere promoting critical discussion. A traditional Tamil cultural tradition called the *koodam* was adapted to present day circumstances and made the basis for interaction amongst all participants.

Between May 2004 and June 2006, in all over 350 engineers from the senior most to the youngest engineers were covered in core workshops of five days duration. The aim at the these workshops was to evolve a broad consensus amongst all engineers that they needed to accept the imperative of change management at a personal level first and thereafter be willing to be part of the collective effort to work internally in changing working culture, response patterns and sense of accountability and responsibility, and externally to build strong bonds of egalitarian relationships with the stakeholders in particular and community at large, around issues of community ownership, participation and democratic functioning.

Each participant was encouraged to initiate two small change projects, one in their immediate work area and another in work with the community. The plan of action included a time line and set of indicators to evaluate their own functioning.

As the change process gathered momentum, a Change Management Group came to be formed to study made up of volunteers who came forward to champion the change process within and outside the organisation. Care was taken to ensure that the CMG was as diverse as possible accommodating people from different age groups, experiences, educational backgrounds and regions.

**Change Processes: Intervention Design**

There were three broad thrust areas for intervention.

**ATTITUDINAL TRANSFORMATION**

Amongst individuals – covering the manner in which individuals perceive their own roles and functions and nature of relationship between themselves, the Water Board and the community at large.

Within the organisation – encompassing the manner in which the organisation relates to the ordinary citizen who is now being addressed as a ‘consumer’ of the services offered by the Board, with the privilege of rejecting the services of the Board in favour of other private players.

Amongst key stakeholders – the larger change effort will also have to include changes in the way other stakeholders and the community at large also perceives the relevance and importance of the Board and the services it offers.

**PERSPECTIVE CHANGES**
Recognising that the thrust of all service delivery institutions, and in particular in the water supply sector, should be for ‘reaching for the unreached’ in a manner ensuring equity and based on norms of social justice.

We can highlight some of the more important components of the perspective changes that needed to be brought about in the internal functioning of the water utility’s functioning.

**Shift from access to service delivery** - This approach, in effect, was rooted in the view wherein the citizen being supplied with water was considered not merely a consumer served by the water delivery system but as a citizen, a key stakeholder with a right to safe, adequate and regular water. By acknowledging the right of the citizen, the water department was recognizing and reflecting a shift, in self perception, from being sole determinants of all water related policies, planning and implementation to being one of several, albeit important, players in the water field.

**Shift from providers to partners** - The water providers responsible for delivery of services were also accountable for the outcomes i.e. effectiveness with which they performed and ensured satisfactory delivery of the services, acknowledging these to be a right of the citizens. While acknowledging ‘citizenship’ rights, the arena of citizen responsibilities in managing a scarce resource were brought into the frame of the partnership that the TWAD functionaries sought to put in place with the communities accessing drinking water.

**Shift to sustainability enhancement approach** - The changed perspective of examining system performance around issues of efficiency and effectiveness is grounded in a much more pressing imperative; ensuring the sustainability of water system involving issues of conservation and scientific, rational and appropriate use of water. The sustainability approach would have to be rooted in a holistic, integrated and multi-dimensional perspective on changes in the water sector.

**INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFORMATION**

Encompassing issues of bringing about changes in the institutional culture of the organisation focusing on issues of internal democratic functioning, respect for individuals, norms of relating and in general creating enabling working environment and responsive work culture amongst all levels of the utility personnel.

Emphasising the importance of creating relationships based on respecting dignity, self respect and self-dependence of all persons and groups who, together, have to partner the enormous task of preserving nature and water systems for future generations

Renegotiating relationships with all other stakeholders inside and outside the water sector.

Energising new partnerships amongst key stakeholders holding up values of equity and social justice and evolving a shared vision of ensuring ‘reaching the unreached’ as the core thrust of the water delivery system.

**Critical Issues: Dimensions of the Challenge**
1. One of the most important concerns was to strengthen water security by ensuring the supply of adequate amount of safe drinking water to all citizens of the state in a manner which does not further endanger the already precariously poised water system; in other words, in a manner ensuring sustainable and safe water utilisation systems.

2. Another focus was to encourage and enable active partnerships between government departments, local bodies, actual stake holders and wider representatives of civil society with a shared goal of building sustainable water systems.

3. To initiate concrete efforts towards institutional transformation of both formal and traditional water management systems, to ensure restructuring water access systems which would realize new norms of conservation, appropriate use of technology, knowledge and skills, and approaches based on values of equity and social justice thereby resulting in better functioning of the water institutions and effectiveness of water delivery services.

4. Equally importantly, to focus on concurrently working towards reviving traditional water bodies and management systems while sensitizing and empowering stake holders and local community to play more active and intense role in managing the water systems

5. To achieve convergence and coherence in policy formulation, planning and implementation to bring about ‘Convergent Community Action’ by bringing together state service provider community and officials with an informed, involved and active community.

6. To create a sense of common ownership, identity of interest and understanding of mutually complementary roles of the various stake holders aimed at enabling sustainability of water systems.

7. Focus on capacity building of different stake holders including government officials, women and local communities, local bodies, NGO representatives and elected representatives

8. The eighth concern is strategic utilization of the technocratic and managerial expertise of the state agencies as the starting point to transform the organisation into a more people focused, community responsive and publicly accountable organisation.

Outcomes

Within a few months after the initiation of the change management process inside TWAD, the impact of the ‘churning’ process started manifesting itself. In became apparent that the first batches of engineers, charged with a new sense of purpose and given the space and encouragement to not only critically re-examine prevailing water management practices but to actually experiment with newer approaches were changing practices in the field. This was particularly so as one of the main issues in the change process initiated was to persuade participating water engineers to evolve a set of parameters to evaluate in a critical manner the context of water schemes in the villages during the field visit. These covered issues of a socio-economic analysis of the need for new water schemes, community wise, studying the supply aspect in the context of sustainability and environmental considerations, issues related to recovery of operation and maintenance costs and contribution to capital costs, the importance of sanitation schemes and the willingness of the village residents to accept changed sanitation methods and so on.

In effect two broad categories of actions were being implemented:
• The need, necessity and relevance of proposed water schemes was being self-critically reviewed in terms of the need for new investment, exploring the potential of expanding, rejuvenating or repairing existing water schemes, reviving abandoned or non-completed schemes;

• A conscious attempt was made to evolve a new mode of relating with the community, that spurred the process was that the initial efforts of improving relations with the community produced almost instant result the moment engineers started relating with the community as people and not subjects! Though subsequent responses, especially requiring stakeholders to assume additional responsibility and involvement required far greater effort, the fact that engineers were visiting and working with them to find solutions struck chords with local people.

It was in the initial period, during the fourth batch of the workshop in August 2005 that the first major breakthrough was made which was to have a profound impact in the very paradigm’s functioning!

In the course of the discussions relating to the type of challenges confronting the department and the type of strategies that needed to be adopted, a tentative proposal got articulated which evoked very intense response from almost all the participants. This related to the strategic thrust of TWAD schemes which presently is focused largely on tapping ground water sources and construction of overhead tankers, whether as IPPS or as CWSS. As participants reflected on constraints and pressures arising from target oriented schemes a critical view slowly emerged articulating the need to take stock of the situation, study the existing pattern of schemes and to make course alterations as found necessary. This found articulation in the form of a draft resolution, which after many rounds of discussions throughout the length and breadth of the state wide department was finalised as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Maraimalai Nagar Declaration:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>We will evaluate the existing schemes and ensure that the schemes are put into optimal use first.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>Then rehabilitation will be undertaken wherever necessary along with revival of traditional sources.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>This will be taken up before taking up any new schemes in the block.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>We will all aim at 10% increase in coverage with the same budget.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The momentous significance of the Maraimalai Nagar Declaration becomes apparent when we consider the fact that TWAD, like many other state controlled autonomous public utilities, is not supported for its revenue from state budgets, but instead depends on revenues earned from schemes it implemented. More many years TWAD Board was entitled to 18% of all budgeted schemes, from which it would need to take care of salaries, operating expenses and other costs. By this logic, the more number of schemes TWAD undertook the greater would be its earnings. In 2002 the
Government reduced the earning percentage from 18 to 13%. Apart from leading to reduced earnings, there was apprehension in the minds of engineers about future financial stability or viability of the TWAD given the sweeping changes overtaking the water sector and the slow advent of private sector in the water arena.

Seen against this background, the unanimous acceptance of the Maraimalai Nagar Declaration was not just historic but a very courageous break from the past paradigm. In effect the engineers were voluntarily accepting reduced earnings by agreeing that before they undertook fresh or new investments they would

- Firstly, that they would evaluate existing schemes and ensure that they were put to optimal use first;
- Secondly, they would rehabilitate old or existing schemes;
- Thirdly, they would also examine the possibilities of reviving traditional sources;
- Finally, only after exhausting all these stages, if there was still need for fresh investment, they would recommend and implement new schemes.

Initially there was resistance and opposition to the declaration among the officials/organisation. Some saw in it a criticism of past policy; others saw it as striking at the core of the functioning of the organisation and as potentially damaging to the department. Yet others saw it as articulating something they had felt often, but had not yet put together as a perspective for action. In order to evolve a consensus, discussions were initiated at formal and informal level throughout the organisation on the Maraimalai Nagar Declaration.

Despite the contested nature of the Declaration, slowly a consensus evolved that the Declaration was not only acceptable but also required to be pushed through inside the organisation, with the involvement of other stakeholders too. The process of widening the consensus and ensuring implementation was strengthened by bringing on board District Collectors, policy makers, opinion makers and members of civil, society too. The involvement of local people was strategically important; for they had grown used to the idea that tackling water scarcity could only be through new schemes involving sinking new bore pumps and constructing overhead tanks (OHTs).

As the state level discussion on the Maraimalai Nagar Resolution progressed with more number of engineers embracing it and actually implementing it in the field, a critical issue forced itself: where were all these change efforts going towards? The need to have a larger vision of the future course of the utility’s functioning became an imperative. To chart out the contours of a broad vision for the TWAD Board, a collective exercise was initiated. In the first phase, the 43 members of the Change Management Group (CMG), the body of engineers who had volunteered to pioneer the change process, sat for a collective exploration of issues confronting the water sector in general and the crisis looming in the water supply sector in the state, and evolved a Draft Vision. This was taken up for discussion statewide, including with stake holders in the 145 pilot villages. The final form of the Vision that came to be evolved is as follows:
Our Dream - Secure water for all, forever

Our Vision

1. Conservation of nature as a guarantee for the future water
2. Vibrant, revived and recharged water bodies
3. Assured, equitable and sustainable water for all
4. Successful community managed water supply system through active participation including women and poor
5. Safe disposal of solid and liquid waste for clean and healthy environment
6. Cost effective technology options to ensure Local maintenance and sustainable Financial management
7. Formation of Common Water Regulatory Authority for judicious use of water for all sectors

The newly evolved Vision went far beyond the realms of what a water engineer would generally be engaged in. For the first time, the utility’s functionaries saw their role as guardians of not just water resources, but of conserving nature itself. Accepting a broader mandate for themselves, freed them from viewing their roles in a narrow, limited manner allowing for greater creativity and innovation in their functioning.

To breathe life and to give concrete shape to the Vision, in the next phase, the CMG took each component of the Vision and evolved a detailed action plan to realize each of the dreams. The details were so fine tuned they included a proposed time line of activities, parameters for evaluating progress, the varieties of stages to be traversed and so on. What resulted was the creation of a detailed template of action to guide the water engineers! The creation of the template for actualizing the vision and its implementation as a community based project in over 100 villages came to be known titled, ‘Total Community Water Management’.

Encompassing the Vision, CMG sets itself to work with the community towards

- improved systems and system management for better service delivery
- protecting and improving the source potentiality
- revival of all traditional water bodies for other uses and recharge
- ensuring equitable water supply especially to weaker sections
- a clean environment in and around water points
- regular disinfection practice and periodical water quality testing
- better O&M practice for low user cost
- judicious use of scarce water and to undertake
- conservation measures
- practice of waste water reuse and recycling
- consensus in Gram Sabha regarding regulatory measures
- “Reaching the Unreached”

Outcomes of 472 Villages under 145 Village Panchayats across 29 districts

The following are the main outcomes as of end April, 2006.
The change management and capacity building intervention was from the beginning, conceptualised keeping in mind the field reality. The field projects were the testing grounds for experimenting the learning of the workshops. In a sense, the project villages were the experimental workspace to implement many of the concepts learnt through the exploratory process of group learning in the workshop.

The statewide projects involved about 80 Rural Water Supply sub divisions of TWAD Board. The focus of the project was to take up a holistic approach to water supply by involving the community in formulation, implementation and subsequent management of water supply system including reviving of traditional practices. The fruits of this new way of thinking and working, started manifesting early, highlighting the potential of such change processes in improving the delivery systems of vital services.

It is against this backdrop of continued experimentation, learning, exploration and action that we now turn attention on the main outcomes. The following tables highlight the impact of the new perspectives in 140 village panchayats were pilot change projects were implemented.

**Table 1: Nature of Scheme Opted in Pilot Villages**

| Contribution: | Rs.1.42 crores contributed by 50,896 households in 145 village panchayats, in 29 districts reflecting their sense of ownership |
| Investment cost: | Overall reduction by 40-50%: average project costs are Rs.1827 per household, while regular schemes are Rs.4580 |
| Low cost options: | 50% of schemes are now rehabilitation such as pipeline extensions instead of more expensive options |
| Savings: | Savings of between 8% to 33% have been achieved over the regular budget. Operation and maintenance expenditure reduced to Rs.18.6 per household |
| Equity: | 65% of schemes were for groups where majority were below the poverty line including scheduled castes |
| Sustainability: | 90% households undertaking rainwater harvesting; 150 traditional water bodies revived |

The change management and capacity building intervention was from the beginning, conceptualised keeping in mind the field reality. The field projects were the testing grounds for experimenting the learning of the workshops. In a sense, the project villages were the experimental workspace to implement many of the concepts learnt through the exploratory process of group learning in the workshop.

The statewide projects involved about 80 Rural Water Supply sub divisions of TWAD Board. The focus of the project was to take up a holistic approach to water supply by involving the community in formulation, implementation and subsequent management of water supply system including reviving of traditional practices. The fruits of this new way of thinking and working, started manifesting early, highlighting the potential of such change processes in improving the delivery systems of vital services.

It is against this backdrop of continued experimentation, learning, exploration and action that we now turn attention on the main outcomes. The following tables highlight the impact of the new perspectives in 140 village panchayats were pilot change projects were implemented.

**Shift 1: Choice of Technology Option**

One indicator about the extent to which the Declaration was being implemented is to see the type of choices engineers were able to persuade local village panchayat heads and the community to adopt in the 140 VPs. The first of the following two tables shows that all the 140 pilot villages chosen for implementing the change projects had water supply levels less than the government stipulated 40 lpcd (litres per capita per day). Thus clearly, the selected villages, as per water supply requirements, needed new interventions for water supply.

Table 1: Nature of Scheme Opted in Pilot Villages
### Description of Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Schemes</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand Pump, Mini Power Pump</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Power Pump</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension of Pipe Line</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding existing sources</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of old schemes</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Water Supply Schemes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme Improvement Programme</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the department’s perspective what is most important in the choice of schemes shown above is that the more investment centred schemes, the IPPs and CWSS, constituted only 41% of all the type of schemes selected. Rehabilitation of old schemes was as high as 30%, extension of pipe lines to serve new areas 7% and source augmentation 10%. In all, 47% of schemes finally decided for implementation and executed in the 140 pilot villages included alternate options as the first choice. This was breathing life to the Maraimalai Nagar Declaration. As we shall shortly see this approach was to have a major impact in the cost effectiveness of schemes.

It will help to gain a better appreciation of the implications of the above mode of functioning only if we consider the water supply levels of the chosen 140 villages. All the 140 villages were chosen had a supply level less than 40 lpcd. Of them, 50% of the villages had supply levels less than 20 lpcd indicating acute water scarcity, and another 34% had supply levels ranging between 20-30 lpcd. The significance of the choice of technology is that engineers and community members jointly explored different technology options in the context of the new vision and charter for work and finally consciously chose not just cost effective solutions but also environmentally sustainable choices!

### Shift 2: Finding More Cost Effective Solutions

Nearly 50% of the schemes are low capital intensive ie. cheap, focusing mostly on rehabilitation: extending pipeline, mini power pumps or hand pumps. This reflects a different way of decision-making, based on community ownership, choice and willingness to manage the operating costs. The following two tables highlight this process.

#### Table 3 Cost Range of Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Range (Rs. In lakh)</th>
<th>No. of VPs</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 to 10.0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The full implication can be gauged when we consider the capital cost range per household where there is an emphasis on most households paying the lowest costs for schemes.
Table 4: Capital Cost Range Per Household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost range per household (in Rs.)</th>
<th>No. of VPs</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1000</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001-2000</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001-4000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4001-5000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5001-6000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 6000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the most significant impacts, which portray the inherent potential of this process of personal and institutional change, is the reduction in the capital cost per household by 40% in the project villages. It has been found that the average cost per household in non-pilot schemes was about Rs.4436 (on habitation basis) whereas in the pilot batch the average cost is only Rs.1555 (on village basis). In real terms this means possibility of additional coverage of four lakh households every year, within the same budget.

**Shift 3: Towards Community Involvement**

As a measure of the involvement of the community and its sense of ownership, the project has envisaged 10% of the capital cost as a community contribution, in cash or labour. Over a period of one year the community has contributed Rs.1.42 crores in cash. Over 56,000 households have contributed to implement water supply or recharge schemes in 145 village panchayats. One of the more significant features has been better targeting of villages in terms of those truly requiring improvement.

In the project about 65% of the schemes have targeted villages with more than 50% BPL population. This is in sharp contrast to the generally low targeting of regular schemes.

**Shift 4: Towards Savings**

In line with the Maraimalai Nagar declaration many of the districts have taken up vigorous scrutiny of all investment proposals, in the search of sustainable and cost effective solution. The savings over the annual budget has been as high as 18% of regular budget in Namakkal district, 36% in Tiruvallur district and 44% in Erode district. In fact in Namakkal, the district team has utilised the savings to take up a unique community participatory source rehabilitation programme in 220 habitations by involving the community in decision making and financing the projects.

**Shift 5: Shift towards Conservation**

The finiteness of water availability was a constant message of the project. The community was encouraged to take up ground water recharge activities including
revival of traditional water bodies as a first step to revisit historical practices of community living and sharing of scarce resources. Water balance studies informing the status of water availability at micro level, for the village, was carried out in all the 145 village panchayats and shared with the community. The community also participated in the physical implementation of 45 ground water recharge schemes. In all the project villages special Grama Sabhas were convened on October 2nd and 26th January to take up cleaning and revival of traditional water bodies.

An important component of this shift was also the initiation of many programmes leading to better waste water disposal methods, which was identified as one of the essential elements in the new Vision.

Shift 6: Shift towards reducing Operations and Maintenance Expenses
Adoption of appropriate technology options, ensuring timely maintenance thereby reducing potentially expensive replacements in the future, regulating hours of pumping and supply, maintaining both adequate quality and quantity all had an effect in the nature and functioning of water systems at each village. The regulation of pumping hours included (i) ensuring that the power of the bore pump was according to norms and higher end pumps were not used by the village panchayats and (ii) maintaining a cap on the hours of pumping based on the ensuring balance between quantity of water available in the source and quantity required for supply. This had a major impact in reducing the hours of pumping thereby impacting on electricity costs! Equally importantly, from the angle of sustainability of water source, the regulation of pumping hours ensured that replenishment of water source.

In monetary terms, the following table highlights the potential inherent in the new paradigm of operation:

Table 5: Operations and maintenance cost comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regular VPs</th>
<th>Pilot VPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>2005-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O &amp; M Expenditure</td>
<td>23,908</td>
<td>12,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average per VP pm (Rs)</td>
<td>16,041</td>
<td>12,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tariff Collection</td>
<td>3,048</td>
<td>4,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average per VP pm (Rs)</td>
<td>3,501</td>
<td>4,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Collection on Revenue</td>
<td>12.75%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shift 7: Towards Sustainability
Apart from choice of appropriate low cost, people friendly technology, the TCWM initiative led to a plethora of innovative schemes. For example tree plantations were taken up in a big way and hundreds of tree saplings have been planted in more than 120 villages. Though accurate estimates are yet to be compiled, it is estimated that the number of saplings would number in the thousands. In Palangarai village in Tirupur block of Coimbatore district alone more than 7-8,000 saplings have been
planted with over 80% survival rates. This, with the construction of almost 32 check dams has led to the water table in the village rising from 1200 feet to 800 feet.

Other efforts have included revival of water bodies in the form of desilting water tanks, ooranies and the like in over 120 of the 140 villages which formed the pilot initiative! Rain water harvesting were revived and restored in 90% of the villages and new forms of solid and liquid waste were introduced. Soak pits, kitchen garden and construction of septic tanks, not the domain of the regular engineer became the norm.

Other results

The growing confidence of local community with the engineers translated into direct involvement in the water supply system. As the results of a independent impact study sponsored by UNICEF showed, the impacts of a changed perspective of functioning not only was felt by the local people in the form of changed behaviour and response from the water engineer, they also could see the causal link between that and the improvement of water supply in their villages.

It will be relevant to consider the findings submitted by AJ James, an independent consultant engaged by UNICEF to study the impact of Change Management Training on Engineers. James notes that, without any investment by the government, and with public participation, the following outcomes have been reported so far:

- Formation of Village Water Supply Committees for self-management of water supply in all 145 villages
- Roof rainwater harvesting in 90% of all households with public participation and contribution
- Equitable and regular water supply in 116 villages
- Reduction in O&M expenditure by 10-30% by reducing pumping hours and supply hours to match actual requirements
- Revival of around 140 traditional water bodies
- Segregation of solid waste into degradable and non-degradable wastes and disposal into common compost yards or at household level in about 80 villages
- Construction of household soak pits in about 50 villages
- Tree planting in schools, backyards and along streets by the community (especially children) in 110 villages

Discussing the summary results of the study, James notes, while the change management approach deliberately did not set down a ‘blueprint’ for community mobilization and participation, a few common elements are visible on the ground:

- Engineer behaviour: Trained engineers’ interacted with village communities in pilot habitations differently from untrained engineers in Swajaldhara habitations: They more willing to behave as part of the community and also involved them in discussions on possible solutions to specific water supply problems.
- Involvement and awareness creation among target groups: Trained engineers also made a special effort to spread awareness among women and SC households. Also, their insistence on maintaining records of water pumping
hours, water supply hours and electricity meter readings, and their efforts to
discuss water costs and tariffs and link these to costs of water supply, served
to spread the awareness of these important aspects of water supply.

- Water conservation and tariffs: Detailed discussions by trained engineers of
costs and tariffs helped raise awareness of the need for water conservation
and to collect water tariffs. Trained engineers also motivated communities to
agree to pay a monthly charge of Rs. 10 for the use of public tap stands, and
to remove ‘pit taps’, which is not paralleled in the Swajaldhara habitations”.

Conclusion

At the end of two years since the introduction of a new paradigm or perspective of
functioning, much has been achieved, but much more needs to be done. Several
issues are clear.

Future interventions seeking to address the water crisis cannot and should not follow
the time worn, stereotyped, and jaded way of seeking and pumping in new
investments of money and technology while continuing to ignore the more pressing
issue of reforming water governance. The issue of governance ultimately is also
political as it concerns dealing with issues of power, authority and money. Greater
transparency, openness and democratic functioning threatens not local communities
but power elites, inside and outside government be they officials, planners, politicians
and the new breed of professionals who are now ubiquitous – the consultants.

The work in Tamil Nadu shows that investing in governance reform is so hugely cost
effective – the training costs is pitifully low compared to the cost of investing in
technological options. Then again, when solutions are sought to be found from within
– be it within the culture and practice of the water utility, or from within the traditional
and cultural practices of communities, new bonds of relating are forged. Bonds which
are based on the intuitive and learned genius of the land, which is the only way the
change process can get anchored and grow.

This then should be the thrust for international agencies- to persuade, and if
persuasion does not work, to pressure governments to initiate measures to bring
about greater attention to the three legs of the new paradigm:

- Reaching the unreached
- Equity
- Sustainability.

It is only through such attempts that we can ensure that there are drops of water for
our grandchildren and their grandchildren. As one water engineer of the TWAD
Board remarked, “It’s the only gift we can give to the unborn 5th generation who we
will never see but who will experience the wisdom of the path we have now begun to
travel”.

Are international institutions, intelligentsia and concerned citizens of the world
listening?

---

essentially a crisis of governance…Weaknesses in governance systems have greatly impeded progress
towards sustainable development and the balancing of socio-economic needs with ecological sustainability".

3 Note: Although there were 145 VPs where change projects were introduced, data for the present report could be collated completely only for 140 VPs.