

**Critical milestones towards a coherent, efficient, and inclusive follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda at the global level**

---

**COVER NOTE:**

1. In September 2015, the United Nations Sustainable Development Summit adopted a new framework to guide development efforts between 2015 and 2030, entitled “Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development”.
2. The 2030 Agenda contains 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and 169 targets<sup>1</sup>. The SDGs address, in an integrated manner, the social, economic and environmental dimensions of development, their interrelations, aspects related to peaceful societies and effective institutions, as well as means of implementation (finance, technology, capacity development etc.).
3. Heads of State and Government also committed to engage in systematic follow-up and review of the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The follow-up and review will be based on regular, voluntary and inclusive country-led reviews of progress at the national level feeding into reviews at the regional and global levels.
4. At the global level, the United Nations high-level political forum on sustainable development (HLPF) will have the central role in overseeing a network of follow-up and review processes. It is to work coherently with the General Assembly, the Economic and Social Council and other relevant organs and forums, in accordance with existing mandates, building on their work in order to boost implementation.
5. The HLPF will meet (i) every four years at the level of Heads of State and Government under the auspices of the General Assembly and (ii) every year under the auspices of ECOSOC.
6. The follow-up and review processes at all levels will track progress in implementing the universal goals and targets, including the means of implementation, in all countries, in a manner which respects their universal, integrated and interrelated nature and the three dimensions of sustainable development. These processes will be guided by a number of other principles

---

<sup>1</sup> [[http://www.un.org/ga/search/view\\_doc.asp?symbol=A/69/L.85&Lang=E](http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/69/L.85&Lang=E)]

defined in the 2030 Agenda<sup>2</sup>. For example, they will be voluntary and country-led, support the identification of solutions and best practices, help to mobilize the necessary means of implementation and partnerships, as well as be open, inclusive, participatory and transparent for all people.

7. The dedicated follow-up and review for the Addis Ababa Conference on Financing for Development-and the means of implementation of the SDGs is integrated with the follow-up and review framework of the 2030 Agenda. The HLPF will build, inter alia, on the outcome of the annual ECOSOC Forum on Financing for Development as well as on the summary of the annual Multi-stakeholder Forum on Science, Technology and Innovation launched by the 2030 Agenda as part of a Technology Facilitation Mechanism. The HLPF will also take into account the biennial ECOSOC Development Cooperation Forum. A General Assembly Dialogue on Financing for Development will be held back-to-back with the HLPF meeting, when it meets under the auspices of the General Assembly.
8. The 2030 Agenda stipulates that the HLPF will conduct:
  - i. Regular reviews of country-level implementation, “including developed and developing countries as well as relevant UN entities and other stakeholders, including civil society and the private sector”;
  - i. Thematic reviews of progress on the Sustainable Development Goals, including cross-cutting issues, building on the work of the functional commissions of ECOSOC and other intergovernmental bodies and forums.
9. The follow-up and review by the HLPF will be informed by an annual SDG progress report and a Global Sustainable Development Report, which shall strengthen the science-policy interface and serve as an evidence-based instrument to support policymakers<sup>3</sup>.

### **Mandate for the report by the Secretary-General on global follow-up and review**

10. The 2030 Agenda requested “the Secretary-General, in consultation with Member States, to prepare a report, for consideration at the seventieth session of the General Assembly in preparation for the 2016 meeting of the HLPF which outlines critical milestones towards coherent, efficient and inclusive follow-up and review at the global level. The report should:

---

<sup>2</sup> Agenda 2030 para 74

<sup>3</sup> 2030 Agenda, extracts of para 83

- (i) include a proposal on the organizational arrangements for State-led reviews at the HLPF under the auspices of ECOSOC, including recommendations on voluntary common reporting guidelines,
- (ii) clarify institutional responsibilities,
- (iii) provide guidance on annual themes, on a sequence of thematic reviews, and
- (iv) [provide guidance] on options for periodic reviews for the HLPF<sup>4</sup>.”

11. The present questionnaire aims to collect views of Member States on milestones towards coherent, efficient and inclusive follow-up and review of 2030 Agenda at the global level, so as to inform the analysis and proposals to be contained in the report of the Secretary-General.

12. It takes existing mandates as a starting point and aims to determine how these can be operationalized or further clarified or elaborated on if needed.

13. The Secretariat kindly invites all Member States to provide responses to the following questions and submit them to the Division for Sustainable Development of the UN Department for Economic and Social Affairs ([axster@un.org](mailto:axster@un.org), copied to [zubcevic@un.org](mailto:zubcevic@un.org) and [powellj1@un.org](mailto:powellj1@un.org)) **no later than 20 November 2015**.

---

<sup>4</sup>2030 Agenda states that this report should “include a proposal on the organizational arrangements for state-led reviews at the high-level political forum on sustainable development (HLPF) under the auspices of ECOSOC, including recommendations on voluntary common reporting guidelines. It should clarify institutional responsibilities and provide guidance on annual themes, on a sequence of thematic reviews, and on options for periodic reviews for the HLPF” (Paragraph 90, Transforming our world” the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development)

## Questionnaire:

*For each item below, please feel free to provide an answer in any format that is convenient to you. If possible, please provide a brief explanation for your responses. You may consider using the elements in italics to frame your answers. Please feel free to leave blanks for questions you feel unprepared to answer.*

### **I. Institutional responsibilities for follow-up and review:**

1. How can the General Assembly, ECOSOC and the HLPF work coherently in follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda? What should be the role of the General Assembly in follow-up and review of the implementation of the 2030 Agenda? Do you see a need to adjust the working methods and agenda of the General Assembly, its plenary, second, third committees in particular and their relation to ECOSOC to respond to the 2030 Agenda and ensure coherence, complementarity and efficiency? If so, how?

*There should be a hierarchy of reporting mechanism, clear mandate and responsibilities. Collaboration, coordination and synergy between GA, ECOSOC and HLPF, no duplication of tasks.*

*Working methods and Agenda depend on the requirements and needs of all stakeholders and Member States.*

*The HLPF should provide coherence, linkages and provide political leadership.*

2. Given its Charter and other mandates, how can ECOSOC help ensure that global follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda is coherent?

*There should be a situational analysis, effective identification of the challenges and constraints facing Member States in the implementation of the 2030 Agenda. Follow up and review would be coherent if objectives are clear cut and measurable.*

*To provide guidelines and technical assistance.*

3. How can the HLPF most effectively make linkages with the follow-up and review arrangements of United Nations conferences and processes on (1) least developed countries (LDCs), (2) small island developing States (SIDS), and

(3) and landlocked developing countries (LLDCs)<sup>5</sup>?

*Needs and challenges of LDCs, SIDS and LLDCs should be effectively taken on board and underscored at the level of the HLPF. Networking among regional and international organizations framework and identification of focal point organization (Africa Group or among SIDS).*

4. Should the General Assembly provide some guidance to ECOSOC functional commissions and other intergovernmental bodies and forums on how they should best reflect their contribution to the review of SDGs, and to the HLPF generally, in their work programmes and sessions? And what would it be?

*Yes, mandate of the General Assembly is to provide guidance, approval, coordination and leadership.*

5. How can the HLPF best build on the outcome of ECOSOC Forum on Financing for Development and the summary by the Co-Chairs of the multi-stakeholder forum on Science, Technology and Innovation?

*Ensure follow up and realizations of what had been agreed within the required time frame.*

## **II. Overarching annual theme of the HLPF vs thematic reviews of progress of the SDGs to be carried out by the HLPF:**

*[The 2030 Agenda decided the thematic reviews of the HLPF will be supported by the reviews conducted by the functional commissions of ECOSOC<sup>6</sup> and “other intergovernmental bodies and forums”<sup>7</sup>. These various bodies and forums are mandated to “reflect the integrated nature of the Goals as well as the interlinkages among them”. They “will engage all relevant stakeholders and, where possible, feed into, and be aligned with, the cycle of the HLPF”<sup>8</sup>. The HLPF, when meeting under the auspices of ECOSOC, “shall have a thematic focus reflecting the integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development, in line with the thematic focus of the activities of the Council and consistent with the post-2015 development agenda”<sup>9</sup>.The thematic focus of the*

---

<sup>5</sup> 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, paragraph 82

<sup>6</sup> For example, the Commission on Social Development, Commission on the Status of Women, Commission on Population and Development etc....

<sup>7</sup> Examples would include the World Health Assembly, International Labour Conference etc.

<sup>8</sup> 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, para 85

<sup>9</sup> General Assembly resolution 67/290, op 7c

*HLPF should allow the HLPF to follow-up and review the implementation of the 2030 Agenda. The GA decided that ECOSOC will base its annual programme of work on a main theme and defined the characteristics of this annual theme.<sup>10]</sup>*

6. Should the HLPF thematic reviews of the progress on the SDGs (i) focus on clusters of closely related SDGs or (ii) examine progress in all SDGs based upon on a transversal theme such as gender, health or education or (iii) address four SDGs every year, taken in a numerical order, along with SDG17? If option (ii) is preferred, when and how should the transversal theme be decided upon?

*Option (ii)*

*Selection of theme should be on the basis of priority areas of developing countries including the LDCs, SIDS and LLDCs.*

7. What kind of inputs should functional commissions and other intergovernmental bodies and forums provide to the HLPF (e.g. negotiated outcomes, summary of discussions and analysis or other)? And how should the inputs of various platforms be presented to the HLPF so as to best support its review and political leadership, guidance and recommendations?

*Comprehensive report (it could be negotiated outcomes, summary of discussions and analysis) with timeline analyzing on the bottlenecks and status of achievement with the objective of finding a solution for implementation of each of the SDG*

8. What would be good overarching annual themes for the HLPF to address (when it meets under the auspices of ECOSOC) and how can they be aligned to that the theme of ECOSOC? Please give several examples?

*Annual themes should be in line with the 2030 Agenda on SDGs. However they should be framed out of the report/analysis of the ECOSOC. It should be a common issue.*

9. How long in advance should HLPF themes be known? For example, (i) should there be a programme of work for the four years in between two meetings of the HLPF under the auspices of the General Assembly or for a longer time period or (ii) should themes be determined every year and if so how could other intergovernmental platforms and other relevant actors contribute to the HLPF review?

*This would depend on the decision of Member States on a multi stakeholder*

---

<sup>10</sup> General Assembly resolution 68/1, paras 7-9

*approach.*  
*Six months at least.*

10. Should the multi-stakeholder forum on Science, Technology and Innovation address the same theme as the HLPF?

*Yes, theme to be relevant with the common objective of fulfilling the SDGs.*

11. How should the United Nations Statistical Commission best contribute to the work of HLPF?

*UNSC should have all country assessment and report to the HLPF.*

12. What arrangements would be needed to allow the HLPF to identify and consider new and emerging issues?

*Frequent consultations with Member States particularly the LDCs, SIDS and LLDCs from which new and emerging issues could be properly identified.*

13. How can platforms and processes outside the UN system, including those run by other international or regional organizations and by non-state actors, contribute to thematic reviews at the HLPF?

*Given that the 2030 Agenda is the achievement of the Global goals, the contributions and inputs of non-state actors will be of particular relevance, thus non state actors should be considered as stakeholders and be brought on board.*

### **III. HLPF National Reviews of implementation:**

*Preparation and conduct of national reviews:*

14. How often would countries be expected to participate in regular state-led reviews in order to allow for a meaningful exchange of experiences and feedback at the HLPF? Should there be a minimum number of reviews within 15 years to be presented at the HLPF?

*Annual meeting should be preferred and country review should be on a 5 years basis to ensure that the 2030 Agenda remain the focus of all Member States.*

15. How can the HLPF discussions on the reviews be best prepared in order to facilitate a sharing of experiences and the provision of political leadership, guidance and recommendations at the HLPF? How would countries like to be supported in preparing the review process at global level?

*Review should be voluntary. Regular review would ensure an early warning mechanism which would identify bottlenecks and constraints to be addressed efficiently and effectively.*

*Each Member State preparing its own assessment with a common agreed UN guidelines.*

*Voluntary common reporting guidelines:*

16. In order to help elaborate voluntary common reporting guidelines for State-led reviews at the HLPF, kindly indicate what issues you would want the HLPF to address systematically when it examines national implementation reviews?

*Such issues would depend on each Member State specific requirements and constraints.*

17. How can the guidelines leave enough flexibility to Member States while ensuring sufficient comparability between HLPF reviews to facilitate cross-country comparisons and to help track global progress? Could guidelines identify a core set of issues, in addition to the status of all SDGs and Targets, which all countries would be encouraged to address in their reviews and, in addition, a number of issues which countries might consider addressing if feasible?

*Guidelines to be easily applicable, focused and objectives oriented.*

*Yes, guidelines should be generated after consultations with all Member States and stakeholders.*

*Presentation of national reviews to the HLPF:*

18. How should the country reviews be featured and discussed at the formal HLPF meeting?

*Commons constraints and needs to be featured and means of addressing them to be formulated and implemented. (needs for Technical Assistance and*

*Funding)*

19. How can national reviews give adequate attention to the means of implementation? How can they help to mobilize new support and partnerships?

*National review would enable identification of constraints and other bottlenecks in achieving the Global Sustainable Development Goals. It would help mobilizing efforts through new national policy formulation, create awareness, help mobilize resources from donor countries and international financial institutions.*

20. What kind of outcome should result from the HLPF national reviews of implementation, and how could there be a follow-up to these reviews?

*Outcome should address all such constraints and bottlenecks.*

#### **IV. Regional reviews and processes**

21. How should the outcome of regional review processes be considered at HLPF?

*Regional review to be grouped for the SIDS, LDCs, LLDCs, African Position. Such outcome should come from all Member states grouped under these specific settings.*

#### **V. Inclusion of UN system and other stakeholders in global follow-up and review**

22. How can the HLPF support the participation by the major groups and other relevant stakeholders in the follow-up and review processes conducted at the global level including the thematic and country reviews? What are possible options to seek their contributions to the reviews at the HLPF, (building on the modalities for the participation of major groups defined by General Assembly resolution 67/290 and the practices of the General Assembly open working group on SDGs)?

*All stakeholders including relevant non-state actors contribution should be considered in the process.*

*Setting up of Committees.*

23. The 2030 Agenda calls on major groups and other stakeholders to report on their contribution to the implementation of 2030 Agenda. How can such reviews be prepared and conducted at the HLPF? How can these actors be encouraged to engage in such reviews?<sup>11</sup>

*Contributions of some stakeholders and non-state actors could be factored in the national review. The HLPF to take on board the report the major stakeholders on par as the national review.*

24. How should UN system contribution to the implementation of 2030 Agenda be reviewed?

*Establishment of a monitoring and evaluation committee. Call for and channeling resources (Technical assistance, capacity building and funding) for the LDGs, LLDCs and SIDS.*

25. What steps can the UN system, including the Secretariat take to best support follow-up and review in a coherent and effective manner?

*Avoid duplication of tasks, ensure vital coordination and communication.*

**VI. Other views and ideas**

26. Please add any other points you would like to raise.

---

<sup>11</sup> Agenda 2030 states in para 89 that “the high-level political forum will support participation in follow-up and review processes by the major groups and other relevant stakeholders in line with resolution 67/290. We call on those actors to report on their contribution to the implementation of the Agenda.”