Best Practices and Innovative Approaches for Sustainable Waste Management

Agamuthu P. Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia



Content

- Mathebric Introduction
- Effective waste management strategies
- Turning waste to valuable resources
- Public-Private-Partnership for waste management
- Community based waste management
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Daily global generation of MSW exceeded 2.02 billion tonnes.
- Higher waste complexity and generation.
- Urban population growth capacity at 2% for 2000-2015 and 65% by 2050
- Urgent need for an appropriate waste management system.
- Sustainable development : economic progress is in tandem with environmental preservation.



Littering and indiscriminate waste discards



Waste received by landfills in Malaysia

Financial issues in WM

WM incurs high cost

- Most municipalities in Asia utilized >70% of the income raised.
- The richest cities in Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur, Petaling Jaya and Subang Jaya spend approximately 40-70%.
- Lower financial capability → unsatisfactory WM services
 - In Nepal cities, the withdrawal of foreign waste management companies → waste management system collapsed.
 - Indiscriminate disposal of waste in Accra, Ghana → clogging drains



Unsightly situation in Katmandu, Nepal



Effective Waste Management Strategies

- appropriate policy is very crucial.
- Appropriate drivers (political, environment and economy) lead to sustainable management system.
- Successful strategies → Japan, Sweden, Germany, Singapore and Denmark.
- Improvement via innovative technologies.



"Eye-pleasing" Incineration plant in Osaka



Walking Street in Copenhagen, Denmark.

Legal framework

- In Japan: Japan Home Appliance Recycling Law
 - In 2001, recycling of electrical appliances increased by 20%.
- The European Union (EU) directives on restrictions on the use of certain hazardous substances:
 - 2002/95/EC in electrical and electronic equipment (RoHS);
 - 2002/96/EC on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE); and
 - 2005/32/EC on the eco-design of energy-using products



Recycling of e-waste is commonly practiced in Europe



Legislative framework on recycling

Also important to increase participation.

- Consumers
- Manufacturers
- Increase recycling rate

Reduce litter





Deposit-refund scheme for drinking bottles and cans reduce littering and increase recycling in EU and UK



Zero-waste strategy promotes recycling in Singapore

Improvement of WM by action program

- Appropriate policy
 - Semakau Landfill, Singapore
- Privatization
 - Improve urban WM in Nepal cities
 - Larger collection capacity in Accra, Ghana
 - More efficient collection services in Cairo, Egypt.





Streets in Biratnagar, Nepal are cleaner after the privatization of WM

Regional Cooperation

- cooperation for investment and operation of WM
- positive consequence of a harmonized policy
- improved waste collection efficiency, and the recycling rate

Common among EU countries:

- Italy, Hungary, Republic of Croatia
- Municipalities in Portugal



Regional cooperation among ASEAN

Turning wastes into valuable resources

3Rs strategies

- aimed to maintain public health and sanitation
- economical benefits
- waste into value-added products
 - Recyclables,
 - Biogas
 - Compost
 - RDF/ Energy





Blaabjerg Biogas plant, Denmark



RDF processing system in Malaysia

Successful stories

- Denmark: 13 organic household composting plants, 33 incinerators, 134 garden waste composting plants and five biogas facilities.
- India: economic gains in recycling with 9-15% of total waste generated
 - Biogas from organic waste
- The Philippines: Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs), conducting recyclables collection events, partnership and networking.
- Bangladesh: composting capacity of 700 tonnes produce 50,000 tonnes
- Sweden: 35% of the Swedish municipalities sending compostable household waste to a central treatment making a total of 344 500 tonnes of organic waste.
- Many more.

Public-Private-Partnership (PPP) for waste management

- private sector involvement in providing public infrastructure.
- Four main factors of PPP implementation in EU:
 - value-for-money project,
 - identification of risks (description and allocations) between public and private sectors,
 - effective performance monitoring strategies via quantitative performance indicator, and
 - its affordability level to users



Main Players in PPP

- provide capacity development
- Includes
 - World Bank,
 - 🛛 UN
 - International Solid Waste Association (ISWA),
 - IICA,
 - IWWG,
 - 🛯 Danida
 - European Investment Bank etc

act as the Expert-Group Organizations

Successful PPP strategies

- European Investment Bank (EIB) finance PPP between Viridor Laing (Greater Manchester) and Ineos Runcorn, and TPS Greater Manchester Waste Disposal Authority for incineration project increase recycling and composting by 50% and divert 65% of the waste from landfill.
- World Bank USD25 million PPP project in Jordan with the Amman City Authority → improve the operational, financial and environmental performance of its municipal solid waste system.
- IICA and local NGO in Sao Paolo, Brazil→ formalized 'Coopamare' (privatizing informal recycling activities) improvement of the recycling rate and lowering the poverty line.
- Many more.



Community based waste management

Categorized into three main types:

- Type 1- the CBWM-community activist set-up identifying service provider,
- Type 2- the private entity community service provider, and
- Types 3- the CBWM-community activist set-up planning and managing the services.

Zero-waste community

In Canberra, Australian – in 2001,

- waste sent to landfills were reduced by 40%
- >80% recycled and reuse.
- Harvest CH₄ from landfills to power 3,000 homes for 30 years.
- Active participation of the citizen.

In Kamikatsu, Japan – in 2003,

- aimed to achieve a zero-waste community by 2020.
- recycling rate increased to 80%
- 98% of the population practice home composting
- the community is willing to do more to improve their current achievement.



Waste segregation by local Kamikatsu residents



Wastes are segregated into 34 categories at the Zero-waste centre in Kamikatsu.

Conclusions

The implementation of various strategies is important

- Appropriate policy and effective WM are crucial
- WM should be economically appealing

PPP and community based waste management can enhance sustainable WM system.

